മിസ്റ്റർ സി. ജെ. മാത്യു:

(എ) ഉവ്വ്.

(ബി) വേണ്ട അന്വേഷണങ്ങൾ നടത്തുകയും ഹെൽത്താപ്പിസ്സുക്ക് @ കു. പിഴകളി ക്കുകയും ചെയ്തു.

DISCHARGE OF WORKERS—STANDARD FURNITURE COMPANY, CHALAKKUDY

393. **Mr. P. K. Dewer** *(Nominated):* Will Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) if they have received any complaint from the workers of the Standard Furniture Company, Chalakkudy or their Union regarding discharge of workers;

(*b*) when was this complaint received;

(*c*) what action has been taken by the Government in the matter;

(*d*) what is the reason for the discharge of workers;

(*e*) is it a fact that the Company for want of sufficient number of men have been transferring some of these works to their factory in calicut;

(*f*) has the Government received complaint to the effect that women are being made to do hard work not suited to their physical conditions and that workers both men and women are being ill-treated;

(*g*) what is the strength of labour in the Standard Furniture Company at Chalakkudy; both men and women;

(*h*) has the Government received any representations from the Workers' Union that the Government be pleased to arbitrate in the dispute regarding the discharge of workers, etc.;

(*i*) if the answer is in the affirmative will they be pleased to inform the House what action has been taken on the same;

(*j*) has the said Furniture Company been declared as an essential service or a national service; if so, when was it;

(*k*) were the discharged workers given any notice of discharge;

(*l*) is it a fact that these workers were given "advances" for Onam just a few days before their discharge; if so, how many days after the Onam advances were paid, were those workers discharged; for what reason?

Mr. C. J. Mathew *(Secretary to Government):*

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) On 31st August 1945/15th Chingam 1121.

(*c*) The matter was enquired into by the Labour Commissioner as the Government were satisfied that the discharge of employees was due to want of sufficient work, Government did not consider it necessary to interfere. However arrangements have been made to see that preference is given to the discharged workers when the work in the company is increased and additional labour is employed.

(*d*) Want of work due to the termination of contracts with the supply department of the Government of India.

(*e*) No. It is not a fact.

(*f*) Yes. The allegations were enquired into and found to be baseless.

(*g*) Men—149 Women—66. (As it stood at the end of the 3rd week of December 1945).

(*h*) Yes.

(*i*) For the reasons stated in answer to (c) Government did not consider it necessary to refer it for adjudication.

(*j*) No.

(*k*) No. But they were given two weeks' wages in lieu of notice.

(*l*) Yes. 10 days after the payment of advance. For want of work.

INDUSTRIAL SURVEY

394. **Mr. V. R. Krishnan Ezhuthassan** *(Oorakam):* Will Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) have Government taken an Industrial survey of the State at any time during the past decade;

(*b*) if the answer to above is in the affirmative will Government be pleased to place a copy of report of survey on the Council Table?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Mr. B. V. K. Menon *(Chief Secretary to Government):*

(*a*) Yes. The Industrial Development Committee appointed by Government have surveyed the industrial possibilities of the State.

(*b*) A copy of the Industrial Development Commitee Report is placed on the Council Table.

DISTRICT MUNSIFFS—SENIORITY

395. Mr. P. A. Muhammed Ashrof *(Cranganur):* Will Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) the names of the District Munsiffs in the order of their seniority ;

(*b*) the total service put in by each as District Munsiff up to the end of 1120?

Mr. K. S. Raghavan *(Secretary to Government):*

(*a*) and (*b*) A statement is appended. *(Appendix IV)*

396. Mr. P. Mani *(Kanayannur):* Will Government pleased to state.—

(*a*) has the Hon'ble Minister visited the Thottara Puncha during his recent tours in those places;

(*b*) whether the committee appointed to examine the Thottara Puncha Irrigation Scheme has ascertained whether the Puncha is at present fertilised to any extent by floods from the Pazhur River;

(*c*) if the scheme is worked out what is the approximate additional quantity of paddy expected by the committee to be obtained from a sure crop of Virupu cultivation in the Puncha;

(*d*) do the committee think that any portion of the Puncha can be converted into double crop lands if the scheme is worked out;

(*e*) if so, what is the area of that portion and what is the additional quantity of paddy that is expected from that portion;

(*f*) has the committee received any written statement from any of the tenants of the above Puncha stating their unwillingness to pay any additional cess if the scheme is worked out;

(*g*) if so, who are they?

Mr. V. K. Aravindaksha Menon *(Chief Engineer):*

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) *to* (*e*) The number is referred to the report of the committee published in the Gazette, dated 20th Kanni 1121.

(*f*) No.

(*g*) No answer is necessary in view of answer to (*f*).

ACQUEDUCT—ERANALLORE VILLAGE

397. മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. ഐ. വേലായുധൻ:

താഴെ പറയുന്ന ചോദ്യങ്ങൾക്കു ബഹുമാനപ്പെട്ട ഗവർമ്മെണ്ടിൽനിന്നു സദയം മറുപടിപറയുമോ.—

(എ) ഏരനെല്ലൂർ വില്ലേജിൽഉള്ള പെരുമൺ ചിറക്കു് എത്ര acqueduct കൾ ഉണ്ടു്;

(ബി) ആളൂർ acqueduct മൂലം എത്ര ദൂരം വെള്ളംകൊണ്ടു പോകുന്നുണ്ടു്;

(സി) വർഷക്കാലങ്ങളിൽ മഴയില്ലാതിരിക്കുമ്പോൾ കനാലിൽ കൂടി എത്രദൂരം വെള്ളം കൊണ്ടുപോകുവാൻ സാധിക്കും;

(ഡി) വെള്ളം കൃഷിയാവശ്യത്തിനു് കുറവുവരാതിരിപ്പാൻ ഗവമ്മെണ്ടു് ഇവിടെ എന്തെങ്കിലും പ്രവർത്തിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടോ; എന്തെല്ലാം;

(ഇ) ആളൂർ acqueduct യിലേക്കു് പോകുന്നതായ കനാലിന്റെ ബണ്ടു് നന്നാക്കുവാൻ ഗവമ്മെണ്ടു് എപ്പോഴെങ്കിലും തീർച്ചയാക്കുകയുണ്ടായിട്ടുണ്ടോ; ഉണ്ടെങ്കിൽ അതു് നന്നാക്കാതിരിപ്പാൻ കാരണം;

(എഫ്) ആളൂർ acqueduct-ൽ നിന്നും ആളൂരിന്റെ അതൃത്തിവരെ ബ്രിട്ടീഷ്വരെ കനാൽ നീട്ടണമെന്നു ഗവർമ്മെണ്ടു് ഉദ്ദേശിക്കുകയുണ്ടായിട്ടുണ്ടോ ;

(ജി) ചിറ വാച്ചുമാന്മാർ തലപ്പിള്ളി താലൂക്കിൽ എത്രപേർ ഉണ്ടു്; അവരുടെ ശമ്പളമെത്ര;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly